



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/996,488	11/28/2001	Stacy Scott	P12699-PUSBN	2701
7590 03/24/2004			EXAMINER	
Sidney L. Weatherford			MEHRA, INDER P	
6300 Legacy D Plano, TX 75	Orive MS/EVW2-C-2 5024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, ·			2666	2
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	. 3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/996,488	SCOTT ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Inder P Mehra	2666		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 November 2001</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 				
Disposition of Claims		•		
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2666

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to application dated:11/28/01.

Specifications

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Refer to page 18, paragraph 0040, line 3, Change MG2 to MG3.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 6, 8 9, 11, 13-16, 19 and 21-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Claim 6 recites the limitation "said threshold value" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- b. Claims 8 and 9 recite the limitation "said network" in ***. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim
- c Claim 11 recites the limitation "said virtual terminations" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2666

d. Claim 13 recites the following limitations:

"said virtually terminated SVC" in lines 4, 6 and 9;

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- c. Claim 14 recites the limitation "said virtually terminated SVC" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- d. Claim 15 recites the limitation "said virtually terminated SVC" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- e. Claim 16 recites the limitation "said threshold value" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- f. Claim 19 recites the limitation "said second switch fabric" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
 - g. Claim 21 recites the following limitations:

"said virtually terminated SVC" in lines 3, 6 and 8;

" said one of a plurality of dummy addresses" in line 7;

"said end user " in line 6;

"said one of a plurality of dummy addresses" in lines 7-8;

Art Unit: 2666

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

h. Claim 22 recites the limitation "said virtually terminated SVC" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

i. Claim 23 recites the limitation "said virtually terminated SVC" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

j. Claims 24 and 25 recites the following limitation:

"said media gateway" in line 1;

"said threshold value" in line 4

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

k. Claim 26 recites the following limitation:

"said first and second switch fabric" in line 13;

"said virtual termination addresses" in line 23;

"said virtually terminated SVC" in line 24.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

1. Claims 24 and 25 are duplicate claims.

Appropriate correction or clarification is required.

Art Unit: 2666

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luong (US Patent No. 6,314,105) in view of Fendick et al (US Patent No. 6,252,857), hereinafter, Fendick.

For claims 1-5, 7, 10-15, 19-23 and 26, Luong discloses, "a method for transmitting packet data, refer to, "methods and apparatuses for routing data", col. 1 lines 6-10, comprising the steps of:

- monitoring packet data transmission traffic between a first switch and a second switch, refer to, "a bit rate of data packets ----is measured" in abstract, refer also to col. 3 lines 45-50, col. 4 lines 15-18 and col. 48-55;
- receiving a request from an end user to transmit from said first switch to said second switch, refer to, "router A –sends out ---request packet", col. 2 lines 4-15, col. 8 lines 20-25;
- assigning said end user to said first end of said SVC, refer to 128 and 102 in fig. 1A.
- Utilizing a predetermined threshold value to determine whether to add additional virtual circuits---, as taught by claims 2, 5, 11-12, 14, 20, 22 and 26, refer to col. 2 lines 25-30, figs. 2A, 2B (steps 210 and 214), col. 2 lines 40-53, col. 3 lines 24-27, col. 3 lines 50-55 and col. 4 lines 19-25;

Art Unit: 2666

receiving a disconnect signal from one of said end users; disconnecting of said first and second end users from said SVC; connecting said first and second end of said to said virtual termination addresses; tearing down said virtually terminated SVC if said packet data transmission drops below a predetermined level, as taught by claims 3-4, 13, 15, 21, 23 and 26, refer to col. 3 lines 59-65 and col. 2 lines 60-65.

Luong does not disclose explicitly, "establishing a switched virtual circuit (SVC) wherein a first end of said SVC-----is assigned a virtual termination address,-----address is one of a plurality of -----dummy addresses created in said first switch fabric", as taught by claims 3, 7, 13, 21 and 26;

Fendick discloses, "establishing a switched virtual circuit (SVC) wherein a first end of said SVC-----is assigned a virtual termination address,------ the address is one of a plurality of -----dummy addresses created in said first switch fabric", refer to, "the sender can cache the destination address", col. 5 lines 10-18.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capability of assigning dummy addresses, adding SVCs' during heavy traffic, and tearing down circuits after traffic being less than second lower threshold. The capability can be implemented by combining the system as taught by Fendick at the user network interface (Media gateway). The suggestion/motivation to do so would have been to maintain SVC circuits foe eventual use by the end users.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,488 Page 7

Art Unit: 2666

For claims 6, 16 and 24-25, Luong discloses, "wherein said media gateway controller maintaining a predetermined number of virtually terminated SVCs until said transmission traffic exceeds said threshold value", refer to col. abstract and col. 3 lines 63-67.

For claims 8-17, Luong discloses, "wherein said network is a telecommunication network, refer to "communication" fig. 5, col. 9 lines 20-25, and "communications" col. 9 lines 45-50;

For claims 9 and 18, Luong discloses, "wherein said network is a computer network", refer to "personal computer" col. 6 lines 36-38, "processor" col. 9 line 40-41 and "processor" col. 9 line 40-41.

Prior Art

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Morris et al (US Patent No. 6,275,493) discloses a method and apparatus for preestablishing and managing SVC and switch cross connection.

Conclusion

8. Any enquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Inder Mehra whose telephone number is (703) 305-1985. The examiner can be normally reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2666

Page 8

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao, can be reached on (703) 308-5463. Any enquiry of a general nature of relating to the status

of this application or processing should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone

number is (703) 305-4700.

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to (703) 972-9306

Hand -delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal drive,

Arlington, VA, sixth floor (Receptionist).

March 7, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER